UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CHARLES L. BYE, JR. and BYE INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 08-10824

v.

Paul D. Borman United States District Judge

NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Ohio Corporation,

Defendant.

ORDER PERMITTING THE PARTIES TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ON (1)
WHAT CONTRACT WAS IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF PLAINTIFF'S TERMINATION
AND WHETHER DEFENDANT NATIONWIDE BREACHED THAT CONTRACT BY
TERMINATING PLAINTIFF FOR BREACH OF AN EXCLUSIVE REPRESENTATION
PROVISION; AND (2) WHETHER DEFENDANT NATIONWIDE IS THEREFORE
ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON COUNTS I-V OF ITS COUNTERCLAIM

The Court, having reviewed the parties briefs in support of and in opposition to Defendant Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company's ("Nationwide") motion for summary judgment, and having given the parties an opportunity to be heard at oral argument, finds that both the record and the legal arguments presented on the following two issues, which are before the Court but were touched on only in passing in the parties' briefs and not addressed at oral argument, are insufficient to permit the Court to rule on Nationwide's motion for summary judgment on Count III of Plaintiff's Complaint or on Nationwide's motion for summary judgment on Counts I-V of its Counterclaim: (1) what contract was in effect when Nationwide terminated Plaintiff and did Nationwide breach that

contract by terminating Plaintiff for breach of an exclusive representation provision (Count III of

Plaintiff's Complaint) and (2) whether Nationwide is entitled to summary judgment on Counts I-V

of its Counterclaim.

Accordingly, the Court is holding in abeyance its ruling on these two issues and giving both

parties the opportunity to file supplemental briefs. The parties must direct the Court to specific legal

and factual bases for their arguments and must further specify the exact contractual provisions,

agreements or other documents that support their respective positions. The briefs will be limited to

the two narrow issues outlined in this Order and the parties are not permitted to discuss any issue

relating to Plaintiff's claims of fraudulent inducement/misrepresentation, unjust enrichment or the

Michigan Franchise Investment Law, which the Court has ruled on in a separate Opinion and Order

filed this day.

Nationwide is permitted to file a 7 page supplemental brief, limited to these two discrete

issues, within 10 days of the entry of this Order. Plaintiff will have 10 days from the filing of

Nationwide's supplemental brief to file a 7 page response. No reply will be permitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Paul D. Borman

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: August 5, 2010

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served on the attorneys	of record by electronic means	or U.S. Mail on
August 5, 2010.		

s/Denise Goodine
Case Manager